

STANISLAUS COUNTY 2022 HUD CoC APPLICATION SCORING TOOL
RENEWAL PROJECT

Organization: _____ Project Name: _____

Project Type: ___ PSH ___ RRH ___ Joint TH-RRH ___ SSO-CE ___ Youth Housing (>75% of beds dedicated to youth aged 18-25 years old)

Summary of Factors	Points
Threshold Requirements	Not Scored
Client Outcomes	55
Community Outcomes	35
HMIS and Data Quality	15
Agency Capacity	5
Racial Equity	5
Total	115
<p><i>Reviewer is granted discretion to adjust score for any item up or down by two points within the maximum point range when score does not reflect the project's contribution to the community, basing said discretion on information in the project's application such as the project size, number of participants, and vulnerability and severity of needs of population served. Please note that the accuracy of the statements made by the applicant will be assessed during the annual CoC monitoring process. If CoC monitoring determines inaccuracies this may affect scoring in future competition years.</i></p>	

Performance Evaluation Criteria	Unit Type	Data Source	Proposed Benchmark/Standard	Maximum Potential Points	Project Score
<p>Threshold Requirements - These factors are required, but not scored. If the project indicates "no" for any threshold criteria, it is ineligible for CoC funding.</p>					

1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CES: Project agrees to participate in CoC’s Coordinated Entry System (CES). • HMIS: Project will participate in HMIS or make alternative arrangement if serving survivors of domestic violence that allows for objective evaluation and scoring based on data • HUD Compliant: Projects will be reviewed for compliance with the eligibility requirements of the CoC Interim Rule and must meet the threshold requirements outlined in the 2022 Notice of Funding Availability. • Equal Access and Non-Discrimination: The project ensures equal access to program participants regardless of their race, color, national origin, religion, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, age, familial status or disability. The project complies with all federal and state civil rights and fair housing laws including the Fair Housing Act, Title VI of the Civil Rights Act and the Equal Access Rule. • Match: The agency has committed to match 25% of the grant except for leasing funds. 	N/A	HUD Project Application	“Yes” to all items	N/A	Pass/ Fail
Objective Client Outcomes – Objective outcomes that contribute to system performance measures. Overall, has the project been performing satisfactorily and effectively addressing the need(s) for which it was designed? Keep in mind that outcomes will naturally be lower for populations with more severe needs, like those with mental and/or substance use issues or those experiencing chronic homelessness.						
2	<p>Non-Cash Mainstream Benefits: Percentage of adult participants who receive non-cash benefits from any source, based on last annual assessment for stayers and based on exit for leavers</p> <p>54% +: 5 points 41-53%: 4 points 31-40%: 3 points 21-30%: 2 points 11-20%: 1 point 0-10%: 0 points</p> <p><i>Note: Applicants are strongly encouraged to use their HMIS data to examine client and program outcomes by race and ethnicity. The CoC is currently exploring ways to incorporate assessment of racial equity into the scoring process for future competitions</i></p>	All adults	APR	54%	5	

3	<p>Health Insurance: Percentage of participants with health insurance, based on last annual assessment for stayers and based on exit for leavers.</p> <p>95% +: 5 points 85-94.9%: 4 points 75-84.9%: 3 points 65-74.9%: 2 points 55-64.9%: 1 point 0-54%: 0 points</p>	All Participants	APR	95%	5	
<p><i>Note: Applicants are strongly encouraged to use their HMIS data to examine client and program outcomes by race and ethnicity. The CoC is exploring additional ways to incorporate data-based or outcomes-based assessment of racial equity into the scoring process for future competitions</i></p>						
4	<p>Increased Income (SPM 4): Percentage of all adult participants who have increased cash income from any source (including employment and other sources), based on last annual assessment for stayers and based on exit for leavers</p> <p>40% +: 5 points 35-39%: 4 points 30-34%: 3 points 25-29%: 2 points 20-24%: 1 point 0-19%: 0 points</p> <p><i>Note: Applicants are strongly encouraged to use their HMIS data to examine client and program outcomes by race and ethnicity. The CoC is exploring additional ways to incorporate data-based or outcomes-based assessment of racial equity into the scoring process for future competitions</i></p>	All adults	APR	40%	5	

5	<p>Exits to Homelessness (SPM 6): Percentage of all participant leavers who exited to shelter, streets, or unknown</p> <p>0-10%: 10 points 11-13%: 9 points 14-16%: 8 points 17-19%: 7 points 20-22%: 6 points 23-25%: 5 points 26-28%: 4 points 29-31%: 3 points 32-34%: 2 points 35-37%: 1 point 38% +: 0 points</p> <p><i>Projects with zero leavers receive full points.</i></p>	Participant Leavers	APR	≤ 10%	10	
	<p><i>Note: Applicants are strongly encouraged to use their HMIS data to examine client and program outcomes by race and ethnicity. The CoC is exploring additional ways to incorporate data-based or outcomes-based assessment of racial equity into the scoring process for future competitions</i></p>					

6	<p>Housing Retention (PSH only SPM 7): Percentage of all living participants who remain in PSH or exited to permanent housing</p> <p>80% +: 15 points 78-79%: 14 points 76-77%: 13 points 74-75%: 12 points 72-73%: 11 points 70-71%: 10 points 68-69%: 9 points 66-67%: 8 points 64-65%: 7 points 62-63%: 6 points 60-61%: 5 points 58-59%: 4 points 56-57%: 3 points 54-55%: 2 points 52-53%: 1 point 0-51%: 0 points</p> <p><i>Note: Applicants are strongly encouraged to use their HMIS data to examine client and program outcomes by race and ethnicity. The CoC is exploring additional ways to incorporate data-based or outcomes-based assessment of racial equity into the scoring process for future competitions</i></p>	All Participants	APR	80%	15	
7	<p>Housing Stability (non-PSH only SPM 7): Percentage of all participant leavers who exited to permanent housing</p> <p>65% +: 15 points 62-64%: 14 points 59-61%: 13 points 56-58%: 12 points 53-55%: 11 points 50-52%: 10 points 47-49%: 9 points</p>	Participant Leavers	APR	65%	15	

	<p>44-46%: 8 points 41-43%: 7 points 38-40%: 6 points 35-37%: 5 points 32-34%: 4 points 29-31%: 3 points 26-28%: 2 points 23-25%: 1 point 0-22%: 0 points</p> <p><i>Projects with zero leavers receive full points.</i></p> <p><i>Note: Applicants are strongly encouraged to use their HMIS data to examine client and program outcomes by race and ethnicity. The CoC is exploring additional ways to incorporate data-based or outcomes-based assessment of racial equity into the scoring process for future competitions</i></p>					
Community Outcomes – Objective outcomes that contribute to the community success of the homelessness system as a whole. Is the project’s work consistent with community needs and does it consider the severity of the needs and vulnerabilities experienced by program participants in their provision of services?						
8	<p>Utilization: Occupancy measured by Bed Utilization</p> <p>90% +: 10 points 85-89%: 9 points 80-84%: 8 points 75-79%: 7 points 70-74%: 6 points 65-69%: 5 points 60-64%: 4 points 55-59%: 3 points 50-54%: 2 points 45-49%: 1 point 0-44%: 0 points</p>	Beds	APR/Housing Inventory Count	90%	10	
9	<p>Priority Populations (PSH only): Percentage of PSH beds dedicated to or prioritized for chronically homeless persons, designated DedicatedPLUS, Severe Mental Illness or HIV/AIDS</p> <p>100%: 5 points 90-99%: 4 points</p>	Beds	HUD Project Application/Housing Inventory Count	100%	5	

	80-89%: 3 points 70-79%: 2 points 60-69%: 1 point 0-59%: 0 points					
10	<p>Priority Populations (non-PSH only): Percentage of project beds dedicated to particularly vulnerable populations:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Youth • Domestic Violence Survivors • Veterans • Families with Children • Severe Mental Illness • HIV/AIDS <p>100%: 5 points 90-99%: 4 points 80-89%: 3 points 70-79%: 2 points 60-69%: 1 point 0-59%: 0 points</p>	Beds	HUD Project Application/ Housing Inventory Count	100%	5	
11	<p>Housing First Alignment in Program Design and Implementation : For each bulleted question, projects receive points indicated for boxes checked on project application</p> <p>a. Will the project ensure that potential participants are not disqualified based on:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Domestic violence history (e.g., separation from abuser, willingness to get protective order, or police involvement)? (1 point) 2. Active substance use, or history of substance use? (1 point) 3. Having no or too little income? (0.5 point) 4. Existence of criminal record (except as mandated by law)? (0.5 point) <p>b. Will the project ensure that participants are not terminated from the program based on:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Failure to increase income or loss of income? (0.5 point) 	N/A	HUD Project Application	Boxes Checked	5	

	<p>2. Failure to participate in services or make progress on a service plan? (1 point)</p> <p>3. Being a victim of domestic violence? (0.5 point)</p>					
12	<p>Client Feedback Process: For each bulleted question, projects receive points indicated for “Yes” response, or zero points for “No” response, according to project’s response on the Supplemental Application.</p> <p>a. Does the project have a formal process for collecting client or resident feedback? (3 points)</p> <p>b. Does the project incorporate client feedback in program design and/or policy-making? (3 point)</p> <p>c. Does the project proactively identify barriers to participation faced by persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the local homeless population? (3)</p> <p>d. Give one example of a time the project responded to client or resident feedback, in the past 2 years, and what the results and/or changes were. (1 points)</p>	N/A	Supplemental Survey	“Yes” to all questions	10	
HMIS and Data Quality – Factors based on projects’ thoroughness of data collection						
13	<p>Complete Data: Percentage of HMIS Universal Data Elements that are complete (not null/missing, “don’t know,” or “refused”), except for Social Security numbers</p> <p>100%: 10 points 99%: 9 points 98%: 8 points 97%: 7 points 96%: 6 points 95%: 5 points 94%: 4 points 93%: 3 points 92%: 2 points 91%: 1 points 90%: 0 points</p>	All Participants	APR	> 95%	10	
14	<p>Exits to Known Destinations: Percentage of clients who exit to known destinations as recorded in HMIS</p>		APR		5	

	<p>96% +: 5 points 93-95%: 4 points 90-92%: 3 points 87-89%: 2 points 84-86%: 1 point 0-83%: 0 points</p> <p><i>Projects with zero leavers receive full points.</i></p>	Participant Leavers				
Agency Capacity – Scores are consistent across all projects by each applicant agency. Factors measure agency’s capacity and contribution to the homelessness system.						
15	<p>Recipient Performance: For each bulleted response, the agency should have answered in a manner that is positive. The positive response will be in parenthesis.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Did the agency submit the previous year’s Annual Performance Report on time? <i>(Yes)</i> • Does the agency have any unresolved HUD Monitoring or OIG Audit finding(s) concerning any previous grant term related to the renewal project request? <i>(No)</i> • Does the agency draw funds quarterly for the current renewal project? <i>(Yes)</i> • Has the agency had any funds remain available for recapture by HUD for the most recently expired grant term related to the renewal project request? <i>(No)</i> 	N/A	HUD Project Application		5	
Racial Equity Factors – Outcomes that contribute to addressing racial equity and disparities within the community.						

16	<p>Racial Equity</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Does the agency have under-represented and/or minority individuals (BIPOC, LTBTQ+, etc.) in managerial and leadership positions? (1 point) • Does the agency's organizational board of directors include representation from individuals with lived experience? If not, how does the agency incorporate feedback from individuals with lived experience? (1 point) • Has the agency reviewed its internal policies with an equity lens and has a plan for developing and implementing equitable policies that do not impose undue barriers that exacerbate disparities and outcomes? (1 point) • Does the agency describe a comprehensible plan for reviewing program participant outcomes with an equity lens (including the disaggregation of data by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and/or age)? If already implementing a plan, are the findings described? (1 point) • Has the agency identified whether programmatic changes are needed to make program participant outcomes more equitable? If yes, are the findings described? If no, does the agency describe steps they will take to identify programmatic changes? (1 point) 	N/A	Supplemental Survey		5	
Timeliness Penalties						
	<p>Local Application: Penalties for late application materials:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Any late application received within 48 hours of the due date/time will receive a 15-point score reduction. Late applications received after 48 hours will not be accepted. • The Review and Rank Committee has the discretion to waive the late application points deduction if it determines that emergency or extreme situations existed. 				0	